

## Appendix 3: Landscape Sensitivity Appraisal

KEY:

Site SLAA Reference(s)				
Does the site contain important or distinctive landscape features?	Yes	In part	No	Comment, Indicators of higher sensitivity to development:
Presence of strong topographic form/variety	High sensitivity	Moderate Sensitivity	Low Sensitivity	Presence of strong topographic form/variety
Varied range of land cover/uses	High sensitivity	Moderate Sensitivity	Low Sensitivity	Wide variety of land cover/ uses
Hydrology	High sensitivity	Moderate Sensitivity	Low Sensitivity	Presence of hydrological distinction and variety
Landscape elements/structure	High sensitivity	Moderate Sensitivity	Low Sensitivity	Presence of diverse landscape elements and a strong landscape structure
Is the site predominantly dominated by urban elements?	Yes	In part	No	Comment
Landscape scale, lack of urbanising features	High sensitivity	Moderate Sensitivity	Low Sensitivity	Absence of human scale urban elements
Enclosure/openness	High sensitivity	Moderate Sensitivity	Low Sensitivity	Intact landscape pattern with defined sense of enclosure
Pattern and complexity, remoteness	High sensitivity	Moderate Sensitivity	Low Sensitivity	Complex landscape pattern, remote location
<b>Biodiversity and Habitat (dealt with elsewhere)</b>				
<b>Does the site contain important historic elements?</b>				
Historic parklands/gardens/SAMs, historic landscape structure	High sensitivity	Moderate Sensitivity	Low Sensitivity	Presence of recorded historic elements including recognisable intact historical field patterns

Historic settlement pattern, vernacular buildings	High sensitivity	Moderate Sensitivity	Low Sensitivity	Presence of dispersed settlement pattern with absence of modern development and presence of vernacular buildings
<b>Views and Visibility</b>				
Are views of the site contained or open?	High sensitivity	Moderate Sensitivity	Low Sensitivity	Open, expansive or unfiltered views.
Are key views experienced by people who have a high level of interest in the visual environment?	High sensitivity	Moderate Sensitivity	Low Sensitivity	Views from footpaths and areas of public space supporting informal recreation are likely to be more significant than views from busy roads or places where users are not primarily interested in the external environment
Are there important skyline views?	High sensitivity	Moderate Sensitivity	Low Sensitivity	Prominent, distinctive or undeveloped skylines or those with important historic landmarks
<b>Potential for development to avoid impacting sensitive landscapes?</b>	<p><b>High sensitivity</b></p> <p>Mainly high landscape sensitivity scores which will mean urban development in this location not recommended. Impact the landscape would be significant and difficult to offset.</p>	<p><b>Moderate sensitivity</b></p> <p>High number of 'Moderate sensitivity' scores or a mix of 'High' and 'Low sensitivity' scores which indicates overall moderate sensitivity which will mean that some development in this location may be possible. Negative landscape or visual impact may be offset by extensive mitigation<sup>1</sup>.</p>	<p><b>Low sensitivity</b></p> <p>Mainly 'Low sensitivity' scores, meaning that development may more easily be accommodated without significant negative landscape or visual impact, with limited mitigation<sup>1</sup>.</p>	

<sup>1</sup> In considering whether negative landscape and visual impacts may be offset by mitigation, it is appropriate to consider whether development could be accommodated within a new landscape framework including the creation of a new defensible urban edge.

**1. West of Allbrook Way**

**1-5-C and 1-7-C Allbrook Way**

Does the site contain important or distinctive landscape features?	Yes	? /or In part	No	Comment
Presence of strong topographic form/variety?				Varied topography including high ground with long views
Varied range of land cover/uses?				Grazing, copses, water bodies, wet land
Presence of hydrological distinction and variety?				Flooded clay pits and alder/willow wet land
Presence of diverse landscape elements and a strong landscape structure?				Hedgerows, trees ,water
Is the site predominantly dominated by urban elements?	Yes	? /or In part	No	Comment
Are there few human scale features and a lack of urbanising features?				Away from site boundaries
Is there an intact landscape pattern with defined sense of enclosure?				
Is there a complex landscape pattern, in a comparatively remote location?				The central part of the 1-5-C site exhibits a more complex and remote pattern than the rest of the site
Does the site contain important historic elements?	Yes	?/or In part	No	Comment
Are there any historic parklands/gardens/SAMs, or an historic landscape structure?				No formal designation but 1-5-C contains former clay pits associated with historic industrial use
Is there a dispersed settlement pattern with absence of modern development and presence of vernacular buildings?				

**1. West of Allbrook Way**

**1-5-C and 1-7-C Allbrook Way**

<b>Views and Visibility</b>	<b>Yes</b>	<b>?/or In part</b>	<b>No</b>	<b>Comment</b>
Open, expansive or unfiltered views?				Important long views from high ground on the edge of 1-5-C
Are key views experienced by people who have a high level of interest in the visual environment?				From public footpaths, recreational walkers
Are there prominent, distinctive or undeveloped skylines or those with important historic landmarks?				Mainly on the high ground in 1-5-C
<b>Potential for development to avoid impacting on sensitive landscapes</b>	<b>High Sensitivity</b>		<b>Moderate Sensitivity</b>	<b>Low Sensitivity</b>

**Further Comments**

**Development should be avoided in the central part of 1-5-C associated with the former claypits and development should be designed to avoid breaking the skyline on high ground on the edge of 1-7-C (see also Landscape sensitivity appraisal of SGOs for further detail)**

## 2. East of Allbrook Way

1-4-C

Does the site contain important or distinctive landscape features?	Yes	? /or In part	No	Comment
Presence of strong topographic form/variety?				
Varied range of land cover/uses?				Woodland, grazing,
Presence of hydrological distinction and variety?				
Presence of diverse landscape elements and a strong landscape structure?				Partly enclosed by woodland and hedgerows
Is the site predominantly dominated by urban elements?	Yes	? /or In part	No	Comment
Are there few human scale features and a lack of urbanising features?				
Is there an intact landscape pattern with defined sense of enclosure?				
Is there a complex landscape pattern, in a comparatively remote location?				
Does the site contain important historic elements?	Yes	?/or In part	No	Comment
Are there any historic parklands/gardens/SAMs, or an historic landscape structure?				Only Pitmore Copse
Is there a dispersed settlement pattern with absence of modern development and presence of vernacular buildings?				

**2. East of Allbrook Way**

**1-4-C**

<b>Views and Visibility</b>	<b>Yes</b>	<b>?/or In part</b>	<b>No</b>	<b>Comment</b>
Open, expansive or unfiltered views?				
Are key views experienced by people who have a high level of interest in the visual environment?				
Are there prominent, distinctive or undeveloped skylines or those with important historic landmarks?				
<b>Potential for development to avoid impacting on sensitive landscapes</b>	<b>High Sensitivity</b>		<b>Moderate Sensitivity</b>	<b>Low Sensitivity</b>

**Further Comments**

**With the exception of Lincoln's Copse which should not be developed the remaining land is of moderate/low sensitivity and the effects of development should be mitigated with a new landscape framework**

### 3. Church Road, Bishopstoke

2-6-C

Does the site contain important or distinctive landscape features?	Yes	? /or In part	No	Comment
Presence of strong topographic form/variety?				
Varied range of land cover/uses?				
Presence of hydrological distinction and variety?				
Presence of diverse landscape elements and a strong landscape structure?				Partly enclosed by woodland and hedgerows
Is the site predominantly dominated by urban elements?	Yes	? /or In part	No	Comment
Are there few human scale features and a lack of urbanising features?				
Is there an intact landscape pattern with defined sense of enclosure?				The site is enclosed by trees and hedges on the western side
Is there a complex landscape pattern, in a comparatively remote location?				Small roadside site
Does the site contain important historic elements?	Yes	?/or In part	No	Comment
Are there any historic parklands/gardens/SAMs, or an historic landscape structure?				
Is there a dispersed settlement pattern with absence of modern development and presence of vernacular buildings?				

**3. Church Road, Bishopstoke**

**2-6-C**

<b>Views and Visibility</b>	<b>Yes</b>	<b>?/or In part</b>	<b>No</b>	<b>Comment</b>
Open, expansive or unfiltered views?				
Are key views experienced by people who have a high level of interest in the visual environment?				Footpath adjoins site
Are there prominent, distinctive or undeveloped skylines or those with important historic landmarks?				
<b>Potential for development to avoid impacting on sensitive landscapes</b>	<b>High Sensitivity</b>		<b>Moderate Sensitivity</b>	<b>Low Sensitivity</b>

**Further Comments**

**Existing vegetation adjoining the site should be retained on the western side to protect long views across the valley**

**4 East of Knowle Lane, Fair Oak**

**7-11-C**

<b>Does the site contain important or distinctive landscape features?</b>	<b>Yes</b>	<b>? /or In part</b>	<b>No</b>	<b>Comment</b>
Presence of strong topographic form/variety?				
Varied range of land cover/uses?				
Presence of hydrological distinction and variety?				
Presence of diverse landscape elements and a strong landscape structure?				
<b>Is the site predominantly dominated by urban elements?</b>	<b>Yes</b>	<b>? /or In part</b>	<b>No</b>	<b>Comment</b>
Are there few human scale features and a lack of urbanising features?				Adjoining existing development
Is there an intact landscape pattern with defined sense of enclosure?				
Is there a complex landscape pattern, in a comparatively remote location?				
<b>Does the site contain important historic elements?</b>	<b>Yes</b>	<b>?/or In part</b>	<b>No</b>	<b>Comment</b>
Are there any historic parklands/gardens/SAMs, or an historic landscape structure?				
Is there a dispersed settlement pattern with absence of modern development and presence of vernacular buildings?				

**4 East of Knowle Lane, Fair Oak**

**7-11-C**

<b>Views and Visibility</b>	<b>Yes</b>	<b>?/or In part</b>	<b>No</b>	<b>Comment</b>
Open, expansive or unfiltered views?				Long views towards the east
Are key views experienced by people who have a high level of interest in the visual environment?				
Are there prominent, distinctive or undeveloped skylines or those with important historic landmarks?				
<b>Potential for development to avoid impacting on sensitive landscapes</b>	<b>High Sensitivity</b>		<b>Moderate Sensitivity</b>	<b>Low Sensitivity</b>

**Further Comments**

**Site has consent for development already and the ground has been cleared and filled.**

**5 North of Knowle Lane, Fair Oak**

**7-19-C Land South of Yew Tree Cottage, Knowle Lane and**

**7-22-C Land East of Botley Road and north of Knowle Lane**

<b>Does the site contain important or distinctive landscape features?</b>	<b>Yes</b>	<b>? /or In part</b>	<b>No</b>	<b>Comment</b>
Presence of strong topographic form/variety?				
Varied range of land cover/uses?				Grass crop and dispersed development
Presence of hydrological distinction and variety?				
Presence of diverse landscape elements and a strong landscape structure?				
<b>Is the site predominantly dominated by urban elements?</b>	<b>Yes</b>	<b>? /or In part</b>	<b>No</b>	<b>Comment</b>
Are there few human scale features and a lack of urbanising features?				Edge of countryside
Is there an intact landscape pattern with defined sense of enclosure?				Clearly defined edges in part
Is there a complex landscape pattern, in a comparatively remote location?				
<b>Does the site contain important historic elements?</b>	<b>Yes</b>	<b>?/or In part</b>	<b>No</b>	<b>Comment</b>
Are there any historic parklands/gardens/SAMs, or an historic landscape structure?				
Is there a dispersed settlement pattern with absence of modern development and presence of vernacular buildings?				

**5 North of Knowle Lane, Fair Oak**

**7-19-C Land South of Yew Tree Cottage, Knowle Lane and**

**7-22-C Land East of Botley Road and north of Knowle Lane**

<b>Views and Visibility</b>	<b>Yes</b>	<b>?/or In part</b>	<b>No</b>	<b>Comment</b>
Open, expansive or unfiltered views?				
Are key views experienced by people who have a high level of interest in the visual environment?				
Are there prominent, distinctive or undeveloped skylines or those with important historic landmarks?				Undeveloped skyline towards Knowle Hill Park
<b>Potential for development to avoid impacting on sensitive landscapes</b>	<b>High Sensitivity</b>		<b>Moderate Sensitivity</b>	<b>Low Sensitivity</b>

**Further Comments**

**Prominent edge of countryside site from adjoining roads but no special qualities**

**6. Cockpit Farm, Fair Oak**

**7-20-C**

Does the site contain important or distinctive landscape features?	Yes	? /or In part	No	Comment
Presence of strong topographic form/variety?				
Varied range of land cover/uses?				Farmland in mixed use
Presence of hydrological distinction and variety?				
Presence of diverse landscape elements and a strong landscape structure?				Established hedgerows with mature trees
Is the site predominantly dominated by urban elements?	Yes	? /or In part	No	Comment
Are there few human scale features and a lack of urbanising features?				Edge of countryside, urban features mainly associated with agriculture
Is there an intact landscape pattern with defined sense of enclosure?				Clearly defined edges in part
Is there a complex landscape pattern, in a comparatively remote location?				
Does the site contain important historic elements?	Yes	?/or In part	No	Comment
Are there any historic parklands/gardens/SAMs, or an historic landscape structure?				Old hedgerows
Is there a dispersed settlement pattern with absence of modern development and presence of vernacular buildings?				Some traditional vernacular farm buildings in loose knit dispersed pattern

**6. Cockpit Farm, Fair Oak**

7-20-C

<b>Views and Visibility</b>	<b>Yes</b>	<b>?/or In part</b>	<b>No</b>	<b>Comment</b>
Open, expansive or unfiltered views?				
Are key views experienced by people who have a high level of interest in the visual environment?				
Are there prominent, distinctive or undeveloped skylines or those with important historic landmarks?				Undeveloped skylines towards rising ground to the north
<b>Potential for development to avoid impacting on sensitive landscapes</b>	<b>High Sensitivity</b>		<b>Moderate Sensitivity</b>	<b>Low Sensitivity</b>

**Further Comments**

**Prominent edge of countryside site, any development should respect the setting of older buildings, the skyline to the north and established mature hedgerows**

**7. West of Durley Road, Fair Oak**

**7-21-C**

<b>Does the site contain important or distinctive landscape features?</b>	<b>Yes</b>	<b>? /or In part</b>	<b>No</b>	<b>Comment</b>
Presence of strong topographic form/variety?				
Varied range of land cover/uses?				Grazing and trees
Presence of hydrological distinction and variety?				
Presence of diverse landscape elements and a strong landscape structure?				Established hedgerows with mature trees
<b>Is the site predominantly dominated by urban elements?</b>	<b>Yes</b>	<b>? /or In part</b>	<b>No</b>	<b>Comment</b>
Are there few human scale features and a lack of urbanising features?				Edge of countryside, urban features mainly associated with agriculture
Is there an intact landscape pattern with defined sense of enclosure?				Clearly defined edges in part
Is there a complex landscape pattern, in a comparatively remote location?				
<b>Does the site contain important historic elements?</b>	<b>Yes</b>	<b>?/or In part</b>	<b>No</b>	<b>Comment</b>
Are there any historic parklands/gardens/SAMs, or an historic landscape structure?				Old hedgerows
Is there a dispersed settlement pattern with absence of modern development and presence of vernacular buildings?				

**7. West of Durley Road, Fair Oak**

7-21-C

<b>Views and Visibility</b>	<b>Yes</b>	<b>?/or In part</b>	<b>No</b>	<b>Comment</b>
Open, expansive or unfiltered views?				
Are key views experienced by people who have a high level of interest in the visual environment?				
Are there prominent, distinctive or undeveloped skylines or those with important historic landmarks?				Tree belts on west and south eastern boundaries
<b>Potential for development to avoid impacting on sensitive landscapes</b>	<b>High Sensitivity</b>		<b>Moderate Sensitivity</b>	<b>Low Sensitivity</b>

**Further Comments**

**Prominent edge of countryside site, any development should respect the skyline of trees and the established mature hedgerows**

**8. East of Allington Lane, Fair Oak**

**7-27-C**

<b>Does the site contain important or distinctive landscape features?</b>	<b>Yes</b>	<b>? /or In part</b>	<b>No</b>	<b>Comment</b>
Presence of strong topographic form/variety?				
Varied range of land cover/uses?				Neglected grassland
Presence of hydrological distinction and variety?				
Presence of diverse landscape elements and a strong landscape structure?				Some mature trees
<b>Is the site predominantly dominated by urban elements?</b>	<b>Yes</b>	<b>? /or In part</b>	<b>No</b>	<b>Comment</b>
Are there few human scale features and a lack of urbanising features?				Adjoining school buildings
Is there an intact landscape pattern with defined sense of enclosure?				Heavily modified in recent decades
Is there a complex landscape pattern, in a comparatively remote location?				
<b>Does the site contain important historic elements?</b>	<b>Yes</b>	<b>?/or In part</b>	<b>No</b>	<b>Comment</b>
Are there any historic parklands/gardens/SAMs, or an historic landscape structure?				Old trees
Is there a dispersed settlement pattern with absence of modern development and presence of vernacular buildings?				

**8. East of Allington Lane, Fair Oak**

7-27-C

<b>Views and Visibility</b>	<b>Yes</b>	<b>?/or In part</b>	<b>No</b>	<b>Comment</b>
Open, expansive or unfiltered views?				
Are key views experienced by people who have a high level of interest in the visual environment?				
Are there prominent, distinctive or undeveloped skylines or those with important historic landmarks?				Some distinctive trees seen against the skyline
<b>Potential for development to avoid impacting on sensitive landscapes</b>	<b>High Sensitivity</b>		<b>Moderate Sensitivity</b>	<b>Low Sensitivity</b>

**Further Comments**

**Ensure that mature trees are protected which form a distinctive landmark feature**

**9. Fir Tree Farm, Fair Oak**

**7-44-C**

<b>Does the site contain important or distinctive landscape features?</b>	<b>Yes</b>	<b>? /or In part</b>	<b>No</b>	<b>Comment</b>
Presence of strong topographic form/variety?				Allington Lane is elevated above most of the site, some level variation between Quobleigh Pond and Fir Tree Lane
Varied range of land cover/uses?				Wet woodland, arable, grazing
Presence of hydrological distinction and variety?				Part of Quobleigh Pond with the associated stream course
Presence of diverse landscape elements and a strong landscape structure?				Some mature trees, hedgerows, wet woodland
<b>Is the site predominantly dominated by urban elements?</b>	<b>Yes</b>	<b>? /or In part</b>	<b>No</b>	<b>Comment</b>
Are there few human scale features and a lack of urbanising features?				Agricultural sheds and smaller buildings off Fir Tree Lane but the part of the site around the Pond is mainly free of urbanising features
Is there an intact landscape pattern with defined sense of enclosure?				Partly enclosed landscape below the Pond with intact hedgerows
Is there a complex landscape pattern, in a comparatively remote location?				
<b>Does the site contain important historic elements?</b>	<b>Yes</b>	<b>?/or In part</b>	<b>No</b>	<b>Comment</b>
Are there any historic parklands/gardens/SAMs, or an historic landscape structure?				Old trees, remains of former dam at Quobleigh Pond
Is there a dispersed settlement pattern with absence of modern development and presence of vernacular buildings?				

**9. Fir Tree Farm, Fair Oak**

**7-44-C**

<b>Views and Visibility</b>	<b>Yes</b>	<b>?/or In part</b>	<b>No</b>	<b>Comment</b>
Open, expansive or unfiltered views?				
Are key views experienced by people who have a high level of interest in the visual environment?				From footpath south of Pond
Are there prominent, distinctive or undeveloped skylines or those with important historic landmarks?				Some distinctive trees seen against the skyline
<b>Potential for development to avoid impacting on sensitive landscapes</b>	<b>High Sensitivity</b>		<b>Moderate Sensitivity</b>	<b>Low Sensitivity</b>

**Further Comments**

**Quobleigh Pond, its associated setting and tree cover should be conserved and managed**

**10. Lechlade, Horton Heath**

**7-51-C**

<b>Does the site contain important or distinctive landscape features?</b>	<b>Yes</b>	<b>? /or In part</b>	<b>No</b>	<b>Comment</b>
Presence of strong topographic form/variety?				
Varied range of land cover/uses?				Private garden with large mature trees
Presence of hydrological distinction and variety?				
Presence of diverse landscape elements and a strong landscape structure?				Important mature trees
<b>Is the site predominantly dominated by urban elements?</b>	<b>Yes</b>	<b>? /or In part</b>	<b>No</b>	<b>Comment</b>
Are there few human scale features and a lack of urbanising features?				Domestic uses with associated features
Is there an intact landscape pattern with defined sense of enclosure?				Enclosed by trees
Is there a complex landscape pattern, in a comparatively remote location?				
<b>Does the site contain important historic elements?</b>	<b>Yes</b>	<b>?/or In part</b>	<b>No</b>	<b>Comment</b>
Are there any historic parklands/gardens/SAMs, or an historic landscape structure?				
Is there a dispersed settlement pattern with absence of modern development and presence of vernacular buildings?				

**10. Lechlade, Horton Heath**

**7-51-C**

<b>Views and Visibility</b>	<b>Yes</b>	<b>?/or In part</b>	<b>No</b>	<b>Comment</b>
Open, expansive or unfiltered views?				
Are key views experienced by people who have a high level of interest in the visual environment?				
Are there prominent, distinctive or undeveloped skylines or those with important historic landmarks?				Some distinctive trees seen against the skyline
<b>Potential for development to avoid impacting on sensitive landscapes</b>	<b>High Sensitivity</b>		<b>Moderate Sensitivity</b>	<b>Low Sensitivity</b>

**Further Comments**

**Existing important trees should be retained and will reduce the developable area available**

**11. South of Moorgreen Green, West End**

**11-10-C, 11-11-C and 11-12-C**

<b>Does the site contain important or distinctive landscape features?</b>	<b>Yes</b>	<b>? /or In part</b>	<b>No</b>	<b>Comment</b>
Presence of strong topographic form/variety?				
Varied range of land cover/uses?				Allotments, grassland
Presence of hydrological distinction and variety?				
Presence of diverse landscape elements and a strong landscape structure?				Important mature trees on site boundary enclose small areas of grassland
<b>Is the site predominantly dominated by urban elements?</b>	<b>Yes</b>	<b>? /or In part</b>	<b>No</b>	<b>Comment</b>
Are there few human scale features and a lack of urbanising features?				Allotment structures and low voltage power lines on part of site
Is there an intact landscape pattern with defined sense of enclosure?				Enclosed by trees in part
Is there a complex landscape pattern, in a comparatively remote location?				
<b>Does the site contain important historic elements?</b>	<b>Yes</b>	<b>?/or In part</b>	<b>No</b>	<b>Comment</b>
Are there any historic parklands/gardens/SAMs, or an historic landscape structure?				
Is there a dispersed settlement pattern with absence of modern development and presence of vernacular buildings?				

**11. South of Moorgreen Green, West End**

**11-10-C, 11-11-C and 11-12-C**

<b>Views and Visibility</b>	<b>Yes</b>	<b>?/or In part</b>	<b>No</b>	<b>Comment</b>
Open, expansive or unfiltered views?				
Are key views experienced by people who have a high level of interest in the visual environment?				From public footpath
Are there prominent, distinctive or undeveloped skylines or those with important historic landmarks?				Some mature trees seen against the skyline
<b>Potential for development to avoid impacting on sensitive landscapes</b>	<b>High Sensitivity</b>		<b>Moderate Sensitivity</b>	<b>Low Sensitivity</b>
<b>Further Comments</b>				
Existing tree belts around site should be retained				

**12. North of Barbe Baker Avenue, West End**

**11-17-C, and 11-18-C**

Does the site contain important or distinctive landscape features?	Yes	? /or In part	No	Comment
Presence of strong topographic form/variety?				Northern part of site is elevated above surrounding land
Varied range of land cover/uses?				Grazing, recreational land
Presence of hydrological distinction and variety?				
Presence of diverse landscape elements and a strong landscape structure?				
Is the site predominantly dominated by urban elements?	Yes	? /or In part	No	Comment
Are there few human scale features and a lack of urbanising features?				Residential used surround site
Is there an intact landscape pattern with defined sense of enclosure?				
Is there a complex landscape pattern, in a comparatively remote location?				
Does the site contain important historic elements?	Yes	?/or In part	No	Comment
Are there any historic parklands/gardens/SAMs, or an historic landscape structure?				
Is there a dispersed settlement pattern with absence of modern development and presence of vernacular buildings?				

**12. North of Barbe Baker Avenue, West End**

**11-17-C, and 11-18-C**

<b>Views and Visibility</b>	<b>Yes</b>	<b>?/or In part</b>	<b>No</b>	<b>Comment</b>
Open, expansive or unfiltered views?				Long views from elevated part of site
Are key views experienced by people who have a high level of interest in the visual environment?				From public footpaths and recreation space
Are there prominent, distinctive or undeveloped skylines or those with important historic landmarks?				Some mature trees seen against the skyline from the southern part of the site

<b>Potential for development to avoid impacting on sensitive landscapes</b>	<b>High Sensitivity</b>	<b>Moderate Sensitivity</b>	<b>Low Sensitivity</b>
-----------------------------------------------------------------------------	-------------------------	-----------------------------	------------------------

**Further Comments**  
**Resolution to permit development, some public access to open space on high ground to be retained.**

**13. West of Woodhouse lane, Hedge End**

**9-3-C**

<b>Does the site contain important or distinctive landscape features?</b>	<b>Yes</b>	<b>? /or In part</b>	<b>No</b>	<b>Comment</b>
Presence of strong topographic form/variety?				
Varied range of land cover/uses?				Grazing, recreational land
Presence of hydrological distinction and variety?				Wooded stream course runs through site
Presence of diverse landscape elements and a strong landscape structure?				
<b>Is the site predominantly dominated by urban elements?</b>	<b>Yes</b>	<b>? /or In part</b>	<b>No</b>	<b>Comment</b>
Are there few human scale features and a lack of urbanising features?				Residential and educational uses adjoin site on 2 sides
Is there an intact landscape pattern with defined sense of enclosure?				Parts of the site area are tightly enclosed by tree belts
Is there a complex landscape pattern, in a comparatively remote location?				
<b>Does the site contain important historic elements?</b>	<b>Yes</b>	<b>?/or In part</b>	<b>No</b>	<b>Comment</b>
Are there any historic parklands/gardens/SAMs, or an historic landscape structure?				
Is there a dispersed settlement pattern with absence of modern development and presence of vernacular buildings?				

**13. West of Woodhouse lane, Hedge End**

**9-3-C**

Views and Visibility	Yes	?/or In part	No	Comment
Open, expansive or unfiltered views?				
Are there key views experienced by people who have a high level of interest in the visual environment?				From public footpaths and recreation space
Are there prominent, distinctive or undeveloped skylines or those with important historic landmarks?				Some mature trees seen against the skyline from the southern part of the site
<b>Potential for development to avoid impacting on sensitive landscapes</b>	<b>High Sensitivity</b>		<b>Moderate Sensitivity</b>	<b>Low Sensitivity</b>
<p><b>Further Comments</b></p> <p><b>The enclosed character of the stream course and mature tree belts should be retained</b></p>				

**14. Rickwood Farm, Hedge End**

**9-24-C**

<b>Does the site contain important or distinctive landscape features?</b>	<b>Yes</b>	<b>? /or In part</b>	<b>No</b>	<b>Comment</b>
Presence of strong topographic form/variety?				
Varied range of land cover/uses?				
Presence of hydrological distinction and variety?				
Presence of diverse landscape elements and a strong landscape structure?				
<b>Is the site predominantly dominated by urban elements?</b>	<b>Yes</b>	<b>? /or In part</b>	<b>No</b>	<b>Comment</b>
Are there few human scale features and a lack of urbanising features?				Residential and industrial uses adjoin site
Is there an intact landscape pattern with defined sense of enclosure?				
Is there a complex landscape pattern, in a comparatively remote location?				
<b>Does the site contain important historic elements?</b>	<b>Yes</b>	<b>?/or In part</b>	<b>No</b>	<b>Comment</b>
Are there any historic parklands/gardens/SAMs, or an historic landscape structure?				
Is there a dispersed settlement pattern with absence of modern development and presence of vernacular buildings?				

**14. Rickwood Farm, Hedge End**

**9-24-C**

<b>Views and Visibility</b>	<b>Yes</b>	<b>?/or In part</b>	<b>No</b>	<b>Comment</b>
Open, expansive or unfiltered views?				
Are key views experienced by people who have a high level of interest in the visual environment?				
Are there prominent, distinctive or undeveloped skylines or those with important historic landmarks?				
<b>Potential for development to avoid impacting on sensitive landscapes</b>	<b>High Sensitivity</b>		<b>Moderate Sensitivity</b>	<b>Low Sensitivity</b>

**Further Comments**

**The hedgerow on Upper Northam Road should be retained**

**15. North of Pewit Hill Close Hedge End**

**9-26-C and 9-27-C**

Does the site contain important or distinctive landscape features?	Yes	? /or In part	No	Comment
Presence of strong topographic form/variety?				Some level changes on edge of site
Varied range of land cover/uses?				Some tree cover but the northern part of the site has been developed for housing
Presence of hydrological distinction and variety?				Some established headwaters, ponds and stream
Presence of diverse landscape elements and a strong landscape structure?				
Is the site predominantly dominated by urban elements?	Yes	? /or In part	No	Comment
Are there few human scale features and a lack of urbanising features?				Residential uses adjoin site
Is there an intact landscape pattern with defined sense of enclosure?				
Is there a complex landscape pattern, in a comparatively remote location?				
Does the site contain important historic elements?	Yes	?/or In part	No	Comment
Are there any historic parklands/gardens/SAMs, or an historic landscape structure?				
Is there a dispersed settlement pattern with absence of modern development and presence of vernacular buildings?				

**15. North of Pewit Hill Close Hedge End**

**9-26-C and 9-27-C**

<b>Views and Visibility</b>	<b>Yes</b>	<b>?/or In part</b>	<b>No</b>	<b>Comment</b>
Open, expansive or unfiltered views?				
Are key views experienced by people who have a high level of interest in the visual environment?				
Are there prominent, distinctive or undeveloped skylines or those with important historic landmarks?				
<b>Potential for development to avoid impacting on sensitive landscapes</b>	<b>High Sensitivity</b>		<b>Moderate Sensitivity</b>	<b>Low Sensitivity</b>
<p><b>Further Comments</b></p> <p>The northern part of the site is already being developed. The tree cover adjoining the M27 and the stream course should be retained</p>				

**16. North of Grange Road, Hedge End**

**3-27-C**

<b>Does the site contain important or distinctive landscape features?</b>	<b>Yes</b>	<b>? /or In part</b>	<b>No</b>	<b>Comment</b>
Presence of strong topographic form/variety?				
Varied range of land cover/uses?				Grazing
Presence of hydrological distinction and variety?				
Presence of diverse landscape elements and a strong landscape structure?				
<b>Is the site predominantly dominated by urban elements?</b>	<b>Yes</b>	<b>? /or In part</b>	<b>No</b>	<b>Comment</b>
Are there few human scale features and a lack of urbanising features?				Open undeveloped site contrasts with adjoining land to west in industrial use, separated by established hedgerow
Is there an intact landscape pattern with defined sense of enclosure?				Site enclosed in part by hedgerows
Is there a complex landscape pattern, in a comparatively remote location?				
<b>Does the site contain important historic elements?</b>	<b>Yes</b>	<b>?/or In part</b>	<b>No</b>	<b>Comment</b>
Are there any historic parklands/gardens/SAMs, or an historic landscape structure?				
Is there a dispersed settlement pattern with absence of modern development and presence of vernacular buildings?				

**16. North of Grange Road, Hedge End**

**3-27-C**

Views and Visibility	Yes	?/or In part	No	Comment
Open, expansive or unfiltered views?				Open views across site from Grange Road
Are key views experienced by people who have a high level of interest in the visual environment?				
Are there prominent, distinctive or undeveloped skylines or those with important historic landmarks?				Some established tree groups on boundary
<b>Potential for development to avoid impacting on sensitive landscapes</b>	<b>High Sensitivity</b>		<b>Moderate Sensitivity</b>	<b>Low Sensitivity</b>
<p><b>Further Comments</b></p> <p><b>Boundary screen with adjoining industrial uses should be reinforced, site is visible from the road</b></p>				

**17. North of Bubb Lane, Horton Heath**

**3-4-C land north of Hedge End**

<b>Does the site contain important or distinctive landscape features?</b>	<b>Yes</b>	<b>? /or In part</b>	<b>No</b>	<b>Comment</b>
Presence of strong topographic form/variety?				Ground rises to the south
Varied range of land cover/uses?				Grazing, arable, tree cover, residential uses
Presence of hydrological distinction and variety?				
Presence of diverse landscape elements and a strong landscape structure?				
<b>Is the site predominantly dominated by urban elements?</b>	<b>Yes</b>	<b>? /or In part</b>	<b>No</b>	<b>Comment</b>
Are there few human scale features and a lack of urbanising features?				Most of the site is influenced by nearby residential uses
Is there an intact landscape pattern with defined sense of enclosure?				Site enclosed in part by hedgerows and tree belts
Is there a complex landscape pattern, in a comparatively remote location?				
<b>Does the site contain important historic elements?</b>	<b>Yes</b>	<b>?/or In part</b>	<b>No</b>	<b>Comment</b>
Are there any historic parklands/gardens/SAMs, or an historic landscape structure?				
Is there a dispersed settlement pattern with absence of modern development and presence of vernacular buildings?				

**17. North of Bubb Lane, Horton Heath**

**3-4-C land north of Hedge End**

Views and Visibility	Yes	?/or In part	No	Comment
Open, expansive or unfiltered views?				
Are key views experienced by people who have a high level of interest in the visual environment?				
Are there prominent, distinctive or undeveloped skylines or those with important historic landmarks?				Some significant established tree belts
<b>Potential for development to avoid impacting on sensitive landscapes</b>	<b>High Sensitivity</b>		<b>Moderate Sensitivity</b>	<b>Low Sensitivity</b>
<p><b>Further Comments</b></p> <p><b>Prominent site on exposed junction ,retain established tree belts and hedgerows</b></p>				

**18. North of Hedge End railway station, Hedge End**

**3-4-C land north of Hedge End (part)**

**3-34-C land north of Hedge End railway station**

Does the site contain important or distinctive landscape features?	Yes	? /or In part	No	Comment
Presence of strong topographic form/variety?				
Varied range of land cover/uses?				Grazing, arable, tree cover ,scrub, residential and industrial uses
Presence of hydrological distinction and variety?				Stream course on southern boundary
Presence of diverse landscape elements and a strong landscape structure?				Presence of parkland in part with groups of mature oak
Is the site predominantly dominated by urban elements?	Yes	? /or In part	No	Comment
Are there few human scale features and a lack of urbanising features?				
Is there an intact landscape pattern with defined sense of enclosure?				Site enclosed in part by hedgerows and tree belts with parkland character
Is there a complex landscape pattern, in a comparatively remote location?				
Does the site contain important historic elements?	Yes	?/or In part	No	Comment
Are there any historic parklands/gardens/SAMs, or an historic landscape structure?				Remnants of old parkland planting
Is there a dispersed settlement pattern with absence of modern development and presence of vernacular buildings?				

**18. North of Hedge End railway station, Hedge End**

**3-4-C land north of Hedge End (part)**

**3-34-C land north of Hedge End railway station**

<b>Views and Visibility</b>	<b>Yes</b>	<b>?/or In part</b>	<b>No</b>	<b>Comment</b>
Open, expansive or unfiltered views?				
Are key views experienced by people who have a high level of interest in the visual environment?				From public footpath
Are there prominent, distinctive or undeveloped skylines or those with important historic landmarks?				Some significant established copses
<b>Potential for development to avoid impacting on sensitive landscapes</b>	<b>High Sensitivity</b>		<b>Moderate Sensitivity</b>	<b>Low Sensitivity</b>

**Further Comments**

**Retain distinctive parkland character**

**19. South of Maddoxford Lane, Boorley Green**

**3-7-C and land to the east**

<b>Does the site contain important or distinctive landscape features?</b>	<b>Yes</b>	<b>? /or In part</b>	<b>No</b>	<b>Comment</b>
Presence of strong topographic form/variety?				
Varied range of land cover/uses?				Grazing, hedgerows
Presence of hydrological distinction and variety?				
Presence of diverse landscape elements and a strong landscape structure?				
<b>Is the site predominantly dominated by urban elements?</b>	<b>Yes</b>	<b>? /or In part</b>	<b>No</b>	<b>Comment</b>
Are there few human scale features and a lack of urbanising features?				Housing is visible on the western edge of the site
Is there an intact landscape pattern with defined sense of enclosure?				Site enclosed in part by hedgerows with trees
Is there a complex landscape pattern, in a comparatively remote location?				
<b>Does the site contain important historic elements?</b>	<b>Yes</b>	<b>?/or In part</b>	<b>No</b>	<b>Comment</b>
Are there any historic parklands/gardens/SAMs, or an historic landscape structure?				
Is there a dispersed settlement pattern with absence of modern development and presence of vernacular buildings?				

**19. South of Maddoxford Lane, Boorley Green**

**33-7-C**

<b>Views and Visibility</b>	<b>Yes</b>	<b>?/or In part</b>	<b>No</b>	<b>Comment</b>
Open, expansive or unfiltered views?				
Are there key views experienced by people who have a high level of interest in the visual environment?				From houses overlooking the site
Are there prominent, distinctive or undeveloped skylines or those with important historic landmarks?				Some significant established copses
<b>Potential for development to avoid impacting on sensitive landscapes</b>	<b>High Sensitivity</b>		<b>Moderate Sensitivity</b>	<b>Low Sensitivity</b>
<b>Further Comments</b>				
Retain existing boundary hedgerows with trees				

**20. North east of Winchester Street, Botley**

**3-8-C**

Does the site contain important or distinctive landscape features?	Yes	? /or In part	No	Comment
Presence of strong topographic form/variety?				Gently rising ground to the north western end of the site
Varied range of land cover/uses?				Mainly grass and arable
Presence of hydrological distinction and variety?				
Presence of diverse landscape elements and a strong landscape structure?				
Is the site predominantly dominated by urban elements?	Yes	? /or In part	No	Comment
Are there few human scale features and a lack of urbanising features?				Site is influenced by large farm sheds and residential development
Is there an intact landscape pattern with defined sense of enclosure?				
Is there a complex landscape pattern, in a comparatively remote location?				
Does the site contain important historic elements?	Yes	?/or In part	No	Comment
Are there any historic parklands/gardens/SAMs, or an historic landscape structure?				
Is there a dispersed settlement pattern with absence of modern development and presence of vernacular buildings?				

**20. North east of Winchester Street, Botley**

**3-8-C**

Views and Visibility	Yes	?/or In part	No	Comment
Open, expansive or unfiltered views?				
Are key views experienced by people who have a high level of interest in the visual environment?				From footpath
Are there prominent, distinctive or undeveloped skylines or those with important historic landmarks?				Vegetation on railway embankment and in the Hamble valley (outside the side boundary)
<b>Potential for development to avoid impacting on sensitive landscapes</b>	<b>High Sensitivity</b>		<b>Moderate Sensitivity</b>	<b>Low Sensitivity</b>
<b>Further Comments</b>				

**21. East of Kings Copse Avenue, Hedge End**

**3-12-C**

<b>Does the site contain important or distinctive landscape features?</b>	<b>Yes</b>	<b>? /or In part</b>	<b>No</b>	<b>Comment</b>
Presence of strong topographic form/variety?				
Varied range of land cover/uses?				Mainly arable
Presence of hydrological distinction and variety?				Small stream courses on site
Presence of diverse landscape elements and a strong landscape structure?				
<b>Is the site predominantly dominated by urban elements?</b>	<b>Yes</b>	<b>? /or In part</b>	<b>No</b>	<b>Comment</b>
Are there few human scale features and a lack of urbanising features?				Site is influenced by residential uses opposite and power lines
Is there an intact landscape pattern with defined sense of enclosure?				Mainly enclosed by hedgerows with mature trees
Is there a complex landscape pattern, in a comparatively remote location?				
<b>Does the site contain important historic elements?</b>	<b>Yes</b>	<b>?/or In part</b>	<b>No</b>	<b>Comment</b>
Are there any historic parklands/gardens/SAMs, or an historic landscape structure?				
Is there a dispersed settlement pattern with absence of modern development and presence of vernacular buildings?				

**21. East of Kings Copse Avenue, Hedge End**

**3-12-C**

<b>Views and Visibility</b>	<b>Yes</b>	<b>?/or In part</b>	<b>No</b>	<b>Comment</b>
Open, expansive or unfiltered views?				
Are key views experienced by people who have a high level of interest in the visual environment?				
Are there prominent, distinctive or undeveloped skylines or those with important historic landmarks?				Distinctive tree line on south eastern edge of site
<b>Potential for development to avoid impacting on sensitive landscapes</b>	<b>High Sensitivity</b>		<b>Moderate Sensitivity</b>	<b>Low Sensitivity</b>

**Further Comments**

**Retain adjoining tree line and setting of stream corridor**

**22. East of Precosa Road Botley**

**3-14-C**

Does the site contain important or distinctive landscape features?	Yes	? /or In part	No	Comment
Presence of strong topographic form/variety?				
Varied range of land cover/uses?				Mixed agriculture, recreational land, scrub, woodland
Presence of hydrological distinction and variety?				Small stream courses
Presence of diverse landscape elements and a strong landscape structure?				
Is the site predominantly dominated by urban elements?	Yes	? /or In part	No	Comment
Are there few human scale features and a lack of urbanising features?				Influenced by housing and power lines
Is there an intact landscape pattern with defined sense of enclosure?				Parts of the site exhibit these characteristics
Is there a complex landscape pattern, in a comparatively remote location?				
Does the site contain important historic elements?	Yes	?/or In part	No	Comment
Are there any historic parklands/gardens/SAMs, or an historic landscape structure?				
Is there a dispersed settlement pattern with absence of modern development and presence of vernacular buildings?				

**22. East of Precosa Road Botley**

**3-14-C**

<b>Views and Visibility</b>	<b>Yes</b>	<b>?/or In part</b>	<b>No</b>	<b>Comment</b>
Open, expansive or unfiltered views?				
Are key views experienced by people who have a high level of interest in the visual environment?				From footpath and bridleway
Are there prominent, distinctive or undeveloped skylines or those with important historic landmarks?				Some important tree lines
<b>Potential for development to avoid impacting on sensitive landscapes</b>	<b>High Sensitivity</b>		<b>Moderate Sensitivity</b>	<b>Low Sensitivity</b>

**Further Comments**

**Smaller more enclosed field pattern at southern end of site, retain and reinforce hedge and tree belt structure**

**23. North of Broad Oak, Botley**

**3-18-C; 3-22-C; and 3-32-C**

<b>Does the site contain important or distinctive landscape features?</b>	<b>Yes</b>	<b>? /or In part</b>	<b>No</b>	<b>Comment</b>
Presence of strong topographic form/variety?				
Varied range of land cover/uses?				Grazing land, scrub, woodland residential and employment uses
Presence of hydrological distinction and variety?				Stream courses
Presence of diverse landscape elements and a strong landscape structure?				The north eastern part of the site is enclosed by established field boundaries and small areas of woodland
<b>Is the site predominantly dominated by urban elements?</b>	<b>Yes</b>	<b>? /or In part</b>	<b>No</b>	<b>Comment</b>
Are there few human scale features and a lack of urbanising features?				Influenced by housing and power lines in part
Is there an intact landscape pattern with defined sense of enclosure?				Parts of the site exhibit these characteristics
Is there a complex landscape pattern, in a comparatively remote location?				
<b>Does the site contain important historic elements?</b>	<b>Yes</b>	<b>?/or In part</b>	<b>No</b>	<b>Comment</b>
Are there any historic parklands/gardens/SAMs, or an historic landscape structure?				
Is there a dispersed settlement pattern with absence of modern development and presence of vernacular buildings?				

**23. North of Broad Oak, Botley**

**3-18-C; 3-22-C; and 3-32-C**

<b>Views and Visibility</b>	<b>Yes</b>	<b>?/or In part</b>	<b>No</b>	<b>Comment</b>
Open, expansive or unfiltered views?				
Are key views experienced by people who have a high level of interest in the visual environment?				
Are there prominent, distinctive or undeveloped skylines or those with important historic landmarks?				Some important tree lines
<b>Potential for development to avoid impacting on sensitive landscapes</b>	<b>High Sensitivity</b>		<b>Moderate Sensitivity</b>	<b>Low Sensitivity</b>

**Further Comments**

**North eastern part of site is least influenced by urbanising features, important to retain well treed setting of water course and established tree cover**

**24. Broad Oak Garage, Botley**

**3-19-C**

**Note: urban site**

**Comments not applicable**

<b>Does the site contain important or distinctive landscape features?</b>	<b>Yes</b>	<b>? /or In part</b>	<b>No</b>	<b>Comment</b>
Presence of strong topographic form/variety?				
Varied range of land cover/uses?				
Presence of hydrological distinction and variety?				
Presence of diverse landscape elements and a strong landscape structure?				
<b>Is the site predominantly dominated by urban elements?</b>	<b>Yes</b>	<b>? /or In part</b>	<b>No</b>	<b>Comment</b>
Are there few human scale features and a lack of urbanising features?				
Is there an intact landscape pattern with defined sense of enclosure?				
Is there a complex landscape pattern, in a comparatively remote location?				
<b>Does the site contain important historic elements?</b>	<b>Yes</b>	<b>?/or In part</b>	<b>No</b>	<b>Comment</b>
Are there any historic parklands/gardens/SAMs, or an historic landscape structure?				
Is there a dispersed settlement pattern with absence of modern development and presence of vernacular buildings?				

**24. Broad Oak Garage, Botley****3-19-C****Note: urban site****Comments not applicable**

<b>Views and Visibility</b>	<b>Yes</b>	<b>?/or In part</b>	<b>No</b>	<b>Comment</b>
Open, expansive or unfiltered views?				
Are key views experienced by people who have a high level of interest in the visual environment?				
Are there prominent, distinctive or undeveloped skylines or those with important historic landmarks?				
<b>Potential for development to avoid impacting on sensitive landscapes</b>	<b>High Sensitivity</b>		<b>Moderate Sensitivity</b>	<b>Low Sensitivity</b>

**Further Comments****N/A**

**25. East of Denham's Corner, Horton Heath**

**3-28-C; 3-30-C; and 3-31-C**

Does the site contain important or distinctive landscape features?	Yes	? /or In part	No	Comment
Presence of strong topographic form/variety?				
Varied range of land cover/uses?				Farmland and woodland
Presence of hydrological distinction and variety?				Stream marks eastern edge of site, with other ditches feeding in
Presence of diverse landscape elements and a strong landscape structure?				Combination of woodland, individual mature oaks, managed hedgerows and wooded stream course
Is the site predominantly dominated by urban elements?	Yes	? /or In part	No	Comment
Are there few human scale features and a lack of urbanising features?				The bulk of the site has a rural character, with residential uses off Winchester Road separated by vegetation from the site.
Is there an intact landscape pattern with defined sense of enclosure?				Parts of the site are enclosed by mature trees and field boundaries are generally intact
Is there a complex landscape pattern, in a comparatively remote location?				Edge of countryside site with relatively complex pattern of features
Does the site contain important historic elements?	Yes	?/or In part	No	Comment
Are there any historic parklands/gardens/SAMs, or an historic landscape structure?				Eastern boundary in particular is likely to be part of a very old field pattern
Is there a dispersed settlement pattern with absence of modern development and presence of vernacular buildings?				

**25. East of Denham's Corner, Horton Heath**

**3-28-C; 3-30-C; and 3-31-C**

Views and Visibility	Yes	?/or In part	No	Comment
Open, expansive or unfiltered views?				Some views of gently undulating farmland
Are key views experienced by people who have a high level of interest in the visual environment?				Mainly from footpath through Scorey's Copse
Are there prominent, distinctive or undeveloped skylines or those with important historic landmarks?				Some important tree lines and undeveloped skylines
<b>Potential for development to avoid impacting on sensitive landscapes</b>	<b>High Sensitivity</b>		<b>Moderate Sensitivity</b>	<b>Low Sensitivity</b>
<p><b>Further Comments</b></p> <p><b>Much of the site has a distinctive rural character and the main landscape features should be retained</b></p>				

**26. Braxells Farm, Hedge End**

**3-35-C**

Does the site contain important or distinctive landscape features?	Yes	? /or In part	No	Comment
Presence of strong topographic form/variety?				
Varied range of land cover/uses?				Farm buildings
Presence of hydrological distinction and variety?				
Presence of diverse landscape elements and a strong landscape structure?				
Is the site predominantly dominated by urban elements?	Yes	? /or In part	No	Comment
Are there few human scale features and a lack of urbanising features?				Dominated by farm buildings
Is there an intact landscape pattern with defined sense of enclosure?				
Is there a complex landscape pattern, in a comparatively remote location?				
Does the site contain important historic elements?	Yes	?/or In part	No	Comment
Are there any historic parklands/gardens/SAMs, or an historic landscape structure?				
Is there a dispersed settlement pattern with absence of modern development and presence of vernacular buildings?				

**26. Braxells Farm, Hedge End**

**3-35-C**

Views and Visibility	Yes	?/or In part	No	Comment
Open, expansive or unfiltered views?				
Are key views experienced by people who have a high level of interest in the visual environment?				
Are there prominent, distinctive or undeveloped skylines or those with important historic landmarks?				Some mature individual trees on road frontage and a backdrop of trees on the western boundary which should be retained
<b>Potential for development to avoid impacting on sensitive landscapes</b>	<b>High Sensitivity</b>		<b>Moderate Sensitivity</b>	<b>Low Sensitivity</b>
<p><b>Further Comments</b></p> <p>The site is a mainly developed farmyard</p>				

**27. North of Blundell Lane, Bursledon**

**4-5-C**

<b>Does the site contain important or distinctive landscape features?</b>	<b>Yes</b>	<b>? /or In part</b>	<b>No</b>	<b>Comment</b>
Presence of strong topographic form/variety?				
Varied range of land cover/uses?				Arable and woodland
Presence of hydrological distinction and variety?				Ditches feed from site into River Hamble (adjoining)
Presence of diverse landscape elements and a strong landscape structure?				Site enclosed by wooded belts but also forms part of the gently sloping Hamble valley with association with the river side
<b>Is the site predominantly dominated by urban elements?</b>	<b>Yes</b>	<b>? /or In part</b>	<b>No</b>	<b>Comment</b>
Are there few human scale features and a lack of urbanising features?				Most of the site is undeveloped but boat yard and M27 are in close proximity
Is there an intact landscape pattern with defined sense of enclosure?				Part enclosed by vegetation on boundaries
Is there a complex landscape pattern, in a comparatively remote location?				
<b>Does the site contain important historic elements?</b>	<b>Yes</b>	<b>?/or In part</b>	<b>No</b>	<b>Comment</b>
Are there any historic parklands/gardens/SAMs, or an historic landscape structure?				
Is there a dispersed settlement pattern with absence of modern development and presence of vernacular buildings?				

**27. North of Blundell Lane, Bursledon**

**4-5-C**

<b>Views and Visibility</b>	<b>Yes</b>	<b>?/or In part</b>	<b>No</b>	<b>Comment</b>
Open, expansive or unfiltered views?				Open views across the river
Are key views experienced by people who have a high level of interest in the visual environment?				Views from well used riverside footpath
Are there prominent, distinctive or undeveloped skylines or those with important historic landmarks?				Backdrop of trees on gently rising ground at the western end of the site
<b>Potential for development to avoid impacting on sensitive landscapes</b>	<b>High Sensitivity</b>		<b>Moderate Sensitivity</b>	<b>Low Sensitivity</b>
<p><b>Further Comments</b></p> <p>The site is in a prominent location as part of the river valley side and any development proposals should take account of important views (and reciprocal views) from the adjoining well used public footpath by the river and from the river itself</p>				

**28. North of Bridge Road, Bursledon**

**4-6-C**

Does the site contain important or distinctive landscape features?	Yes	? /or In part	No	Comment
Presence of strong topographic form/variety?				Site drops 5-10 metres to the south east
Varied range of land cover/uses?				Residential
Presence of hydrological distinction and variety?				Small stream course
Presence of diverse landscape elements and a strong landscape structure?				Some large mature trees
Is the site predominantly dominated by urban elements?	Yes	? /or In part	No	Comment
Are there few human scale features and a lack of urbanising features?				Most of the site is influenced by domestic buildings
Is there an intact landscape pattern with defined sense of enclosure?				Parts of the site is enclosed by trees
Is there a complex landscape pattern, in a comparatively remote location?				
Does the site contain important historic elements?	Yes	?/or In part	No	Comment
Are there any historic parklands/gardens/SAMs, or an historic landscape structure?				
Is there a dispersed settlement pattern with absence of modern development and presence of vernacular buildings?				

**28. North of Bridge Road, Bursledon**

**4-6-C**

<b>Views and Visibility</b>	<b>Yes</b>	<b>?/or In part</b>	<b>No</b>	<b>Comment</b>
Open, expansive or unfiltered views?				
Are key views experienced by people who have a high level of interest in the visual environment?				
Are there prominent, distinctive or undeveloped skylines or those with important historic landmarks?				Some significant trees
<b>Potential for development to avoid impacting on sensitive landscapes</b>	<b>High Sensitivity</b>		<b>Moderate Sensitivity</b>	<b>Low Sensitivity</b>

**Further Comments**

**Outline permission for residential use granted**

**29. Providence Hill and Oakhill, Bursledon**

**4-11-C**

Does the site contain important or distinctive landscape features?	Yes	? /or In part	No	Comment
Presence of strong topographic form/variety?				Some variation in level
Varied range of land cover/uses?				Residential, woodland
Presence of hydrological distinction and variety?				Small stream course
Presence of diverse landscape elements and a strong landscape structure?				Some large mature trees
Is the site predominantly dominated by urban elements?	Yes	? /or In part	No	Comment
Are there few human scale features and a lack of urbanising features?				Most of the site is influenced by domestic buildings
Is there an intact landscape pattern with defined sense of enclosure?				Parts of the site is enclosed by trees
Is there a complex landscape pattern, in a comparatively remote location?				
Does the site contain important historic elements?	Yes	?/or In part	No	Comment
Are there any historic parklands/gardens/SAMs, or an historic landscape structure?				
Is there a dispersed settlement pattern with absence of modern development and presence of vernacular buildings?				

**29. Providence Hill and Oakhill, Bursledon**

**4-11-C**

<b>Views and Visibility</b>	<b>Yes</b>	<b>?/or In part</b>	<b>No</b>	<b>Comment</b>
Open, expansive or unfiltered views?				
Are key views experienced by people who have a high level of interest in the visual environment?				Views from well used riverside footpath
Are there prominent, distinctive or undeveloped skylines or those with important historic landmarks?				Some important tree lines
<b>Potential for development to avoid impacting on sensitive landscapes</b>	<b>High Sensitivity</b>		<b>Moderate Sensitivity</b>	<b>Low Sensitivity</b>

**Further Comments**

**Outline consent granted for residential development**

**30. North of Providence Hill, Bursledon**

**4-14-C**

**4-26-C**

Does the site contain important or distinctive landscape features?	Yes	? /or In part	No	Comment
Presence of strong topographic form/variety?				Some variation in level
Varied range of land cover/uses?				Residential, woodland
Presence of hydrological distinction and variety?				Small stream course
Presence of diverse landscape elements and a strong landscape structure?				Some large mature trees
Is the site predominantly dominated by urban elements?	Yes	? /or In part	No	Comment
Are there few human scale features and a lack of urbanising features?				Most of the site is influenced by domestic buildings
Is there an intact landscape pattern with defined sense of enclosure?				Parts of the site is enclosed by trees
Is there a complex landscape pattern, in a comparatively remote location?				
Does the site contain important historic elements?	Yes	?/or In part	No	Comment
Are there any historic parklands/gardens/SAMs, or an historic landscape structure?				Site is very close to the Bursledon Windmill
Is there a dispersed settlement pattern with absence of modern development and presence of vernacular buildings?				

**30. North of Providence Hill, Bursledon**

4-14-C

4-26-C

<b>Views and Visibility</b>	<b>Yes</b>	<b>?/or In part</b>	<b>No</b>	<b>Comment</b>
Open, expansive or unfiltered views?				
Are key views experienced by people who have a high level of interest in the visual environment?				
Are there prominent, distinctive or undeveloped skylines or those with important historic landmarks?				Some important tree lines
<b>Potential for development to avoid impacting on sensitive landscapes</b>	<b>High Sensitivity</b>		<b>Moderate Sensitivity</b>	<b>Low Sensitivity</b>

**Further Comments**

Outline consent granted for residential development on part of the site

**31. South of Peewit Hill Bursledon**

**4-21-C**

<b>Does the site contain important or distinctive landscape features?</b>	<b>Yes</b>	<b>? /or In part</b>	<b>No</b>	<b>Comment</b>
Presence of strong topographic form/variety?				Sited below elevated section of M27
Varied range of land cover/uses?				Grazing, employment
Presence of hydrological distinction and variety?				
Presence of diverse landscape elements and a strong landscape structure?				Some vegetation on adjoining motorway embankment
<b>Is the site predominantly dominated by urban elements?</b>	<b>Yes</b>	<b>? /or In part</b>	<b>No</b>	<b>Comment</b>
Are there few human scale features and a lack of urbanising features?				The site is influenced by sheds and M27
Is there an intact landscape pattern with defined sense of enclosure?				
Is there a complex landscape pattern, in a comparatively remote location?				
<b>Does the site contain important historic elements?</b>	<b>Yes</b>	<b>?/or In part</b>	<b>No</b>	<b>Comment</b>
Are there any historic parklands/gardens/SAMs, or an historic landscape structure?				
Is there a dispersed settlement pattern with absence of modern development and presence of vernacular buildings?				

**31. South of Peewit Hill Bursledon**

**4-21-C**

<b>Views and Visibility</b>	<b>Yes</b>	<b>?/or In part</b>	<b>No</b>	<b>Comment</b>
Open, expansive or unfiltered views?				Some open views across the site from Peewit Hill Close
Are key views experienced by people who have a high level of interest in the visual environment?				
Are there prominent, distinctive or undeveloped skylines or those with important historic landmarks?				
<b>Potential for development to avoid impacting on sensitive landscapes</b>	<b>High Sensitivity</b>		<b>Moderate Sensitivity</b>	<b>Low Sensitivity</b>
<b>Further Comments</b>				
Ensure vegetation adjoining M27 is retained				

**32. Heath House Farm, Bursledon**

**4-27-C**

<b>Does the site contain important or distinctive landscape features?</b>	<b>Yes</b>	<b>? /or In part</b>	<b>No</b>	<b>Comment</b>
Presence of strong topographic form/variety?				
Varied range of land cover/uses?				Grassland, tree belts on the margin
Presence of hydrological distinction and variety?				
Presence of diverse landscape elements and a strong landscape structure?				Important woodland to the south of the site (not part of the site)
<b>Is the site predominantly dominated by urban elements?</b>	<b>Yes</b>	<b>? /or In part</b>	<b>No</b>	<b>Comment</b>
Are there few human scale features and a lack of urbanising features?				Part of the site in influenced by housing and power lines
Is there an intact landscape pattern with defined sense of enclosure?				
Is there a complex landscape pattern, in a comparatively remote location?				
<b>Does the site contain important historic elements?</b>	<b>Yes</b>	<b>?/or In part</b>	<b>No</b>	<b>Comment</b>
Are there any historic parklands/gardens/SAMs, or an historic landscape structure?				
Is there a dispersed settlement pattern with absence of modern development and presence of vernacular buildings?				

**32. Heath House Farm, Bursledon**

**4-27-C**

<b>Views and Visibility</b>	<b>Yes</b>	<b>?/or In part</b>	<b>No</b>	<b>Comment</b>
Open, expansive or unfiltered views?				Some open views across the site from heath House Lane
Are key views experienced by people who have a high level of interest in the visual environment?				
Are there prominent, distinctive or undeveloped skylines or those with important historic landmarks?				Some important tree lines but outside the site
<b>Potential for development to avoid impacting on sensitive landscapes</b>	<b>High Sensitivity</b>		<b>Moderate Sensitivity</b>	<b>Low Sensitivity</b>
<p><b>Further Comments</b></p> <p><b>Avoid breaking the southern skyline beyond the site boundary</b></p>				

**33. South east of Windmill Lane, Bursledon**

**4-28-C**

Does the site contain important or distinctive landscape features?	Yes	? /or In part	No	Comment
Presence of strong topographic form/variety?				Significant changes in level on part of the site
Varied range of land cover/uses?				Grassland, mature trees
Presence of hydrological distinction and variety?				Small stream course
Presence of diverse landscape elements and a strong landscape structure?				Important trees in parts of the site
Is the site predominantly dominated by urban elements?	Yes	? /or In part	No	Comment
Are there few human scale features and a lack of urbanising features?				Part of the site in influenced by housing and power lines
Is there an intact landscape pattern with defined sense of enclosure?				
Is there a complex landscape pattern, in a comparatively remote location?				
Does the site contain important historic elements?	Yes	?/or In part	No	Comment
Are there any historic parklands/gardens/SAMs, or an historic landscape structure?				Setting of windmill and conservation area
Is there a dispersed settlement pattern with absence of modern development and presence of vernacular buildings?				

**33. South east of Windmill Lane, Bursledon**

**4-28-C**

<b>Views and Visibility</b>	<b>Yes</b>	<b>?/or In part</b>	<b>No</b>	<b>Comment</b>
Open, expansive or unfiltered views?				Some open views across the site from high ground
Are key views experienced by people who have a high level of interest in the visual environment?				Important views around windmill
Are there prominent, distinctive or undeveloped skylines or those with important historic landmarks?				Some important trees and tree groups
<b>Potential for development to avoid impacting on sensitive landscapes</b>	<b>High Sensitivity</b>		<b>Moderate Sensitivity</b>	<b>Low Sensitivity</b>
<p><b>Further Comments</b></p> <p>Existing trees and the setting of the windmill should be respected</p>				

**34. West and east of Shop Lane, Bursledon**

**10-8-C**

**10-9-C**

Does the site contain important or distinctive landscape features?	Yes	? /or In part	No	Comment
Presence of strong topographic form/variety?				Coastal plain
Varied range of land cover/uses?				Grassland, mature trees, employment uses
Presence of hydrological distinction and variety?				
Presence of diverse landscape elements and a strong landscape structure?				Mature trees in parts of the site
Is the site predominantly dominated by urban elements?	Yes	? /or In part	No	Comment
Are there few human scale features and a lack of urbanising features?				Parts of the site in influenced by housing and sheds
Is there an intact landscape pattern with defined sense of enclosure?				
Is there a complex landscape pattern, in a comparatively remote location?				
Does the site contain important historic elements?	Yes	?/or In part	No	Comment
Are there any historic parklands/gardens/SAMs, or an historic landscape structure?				
Is there a dispersed settlement pattern with absence of modern development and presence of vernacular buildings?				

**34. West and east of Shop Lane, Bursledon**

**10-8-C**

**10-9-C**

<b>Views and Visibility</b>	<b>Yes</b>	<b>?/or In part</b>	<b>No</b>	<b>Comment</b>
Open, expansive or unfiltered views?				Some open views across the site from roads and footpaths
Are key views experienced by people who have a high level of interest in the visual environment?				From footpaths looking south and south west
Are there prominent, distinctive or undeveloped skylines or those with important historic landmarks?				Some important trees and tree groups
<b>Potential for development to avoid impacting on sensitive landscapes</b>	<b>High Sensitivity</b>		<b>Moderate Sensitivity</b>	<b>Low Sensitivity</b>

**Further Comments**

**Some open views across the coastal plain should be retained**

**35. South of Pound Road, Bursledon**

**10-15-C**

**10-16-C**

<b>Does the site contain important or distinctive landscape features?</b>	<b>Yes</b>	<b>? /or In part</b>	<b>No</b>	<b>Comment</b>
Presence of strong topographic form/variety?				
Varied range of land cover/uses?				Recreational land, woodland
Presence of hydrological distinction and variety?				
Presence of diverse landscape elements and a strong landscape structure?				Mature trees in parts of the site
<b>Is the site predominantly dominated by urban elements?</b>	<b>Yes</b>	<b>? /or In part</b>	<b>No</b>	<b>Comment</b>
Are there few human scale features and a lack of urbanising features?				Parts of the site influenced by housing
Is there an intact landscape pattern with defined sense of enclosure?				
Is there a complex landscape pattern, in a comparatively remote location?				
<b>Does the site contain important historic elements?</b>	<b>Yes</b>	<b>?/or In part</b>	<b>No</b>	<b>Comment</b>
Are there any historic parklands/gardens/SAMs, or an historic landscape structure?				
Is there a dispersed settlement pattern with absence of modern development and presence of vernacular buildings?				

**35. South of Pound Road, Bursledon**

**10-15-C**

**10-16-C**

<b>Views and Visibility</b>	<b>Yes</b>	<b>?/or In part</b>	<b>No</b>	<b>Comment</b>
Open, expansive or unfiltered views?				Some open views across the site from roads and footpaths
Are key views experienced by people who have a high level of interest in the visual environment?				From footpaths and the recreation space
Are there prominent, distinctive or undeveloped skylines or those with important historic landmarks?				Some important trees and tree groups
<b>Potential for development to avoid impacting on sensitive landscapes</b>	<b>High Sensitivity</b>		<b>Moderate Sensitivity</b>	<b>Low Sensitivity</b>

**Further Comments**

**The skyline of priors hill Copse should be protected**

**36. West and east of Hamble Lane, Bursledon**

**10-19-C**

**10-21-C**

<b>Does the site contain important or distinctive landscape features?</b>	<b>Yes</b>	<b>? /or In part</b>	<b>No</b>	<b>Comment</b>
Presence of strong topographic form/variety?				Coastal plain
Varied range of land cover/uses?				Grazing, scrub, horticulture
Presence of hydrological distinction and variety?				
Presence of diverse landscape elements and a strong landscape structure?				
<b>Is the site predominantly dominated by urban elements?</b>	<b>Yes</b>	<b>? /or In part</b>	<b>No</b>	<b>Comment</b>
Are there few human scale features and a lack of urbanising features?				
Is there an intact landscape pattern with defined sense of enclosure?				
Is there a complex landscape pattern, in a comparatively remote location?				
<b>Does the site contain important historic elements?</b>	<b>Yes</b>	<b>?/or In part</b>	<b>No</b>	<b>Comment</b>
Are there any historic parklands/gardens/SAMs, or an historic landscape structure?				
Is there a dispersed settlement pattern with absence of modern development and presence of vernacular buildings?				

**36. West and east of Hamble Lane, Bursledon**

**10-19-C**

**10-21-C**

<b>Views and Visibility</b>	<b>Yes</b>	<b>?/or In part</b>	<b>No</b>	<b>Comment</b>
Open, expansive or unfiltered views?				Some open views across the site from roads and footpaths
Are key views experienced by people who have a high level of interest in the visual environment?				From footpaths and Hamble Lane
Are there prominent, distinctive or undeveloped skylines or those with important historic landmarks?				Some important trees and tree groups on the western and eastern edges of the site
<b>Potential for development to avoid impacting on sensitive landscapes</b>	<b>High Sensitivity</b>		<b>Moderate Sensitivity</b>	<b>Low Sensitivity</b>

**Further Comments**

**Consideration should be given to retaining some views across the coastal plain and the wooded skylines to east and west**

**37. East of Shop Lane, Bursledon**

**10-24-C**

Does the site contain important or distinctive landscape features?	Yes	? /or In part	No	Comment
Presence of strong topographic form/variety?				Coastal plain
Varied range of land cover/uses?				Grassland, mature trees, employment uses
Presence of hydrological distinction and variety?				Small stream course on eastern edge of site
Presence of diverse landscape elements and a strong landscape structure?				
Is the site predominantly dominated by urban elements?	Yes	? /or In part	No	Comment
Are there few human scale features and a lack of urbanising features?				Parts of the site in influenced by housing, sheds
Is there an intact landscape pattern with defined sense of enclosure?				
Is there a complex landscape pattern, in a comparatively remote location?				
Does the site contain important historic elements?	Yes	?/or In part	No	Comment
Are there any historic parklands/gardens/SAMs, or an historic landscape structure?				
Is there a dispersed settlement pattern with absence of modern development and presence of vernacular buildings?				

**37. East of Shop Lane, Bursledon**

**10-24-C**

<b>Views and Visibility</b>	<b>Yes</b>	<b>?/or In part</b>	<b>No</b>	<b>Comment</b>
Open, expansive or unfiltered views?				Some open views across the site from footpath
Are key views experienced by people who have a high level of interest in the visual environment?				From footpath
Are there prominent, distinctive or undeveloped skylines or those with important historic landmarks?				
<b>Potential for development to avoid impacting on sensitive landscapes</b>	<b>High Sensitivity</b>		<b>Moderate Sensitivity</b>	<b>Low Sensitivity</b>

**Further Comments**

**Consideration should be given to retaining some views across the coastal plain**

**38. North of Satchell Lane, Bursledon**

**10-25-C**

<b>Does the site contain important or distinctive landscape features?</b>	<b>Yes</b>	<b>? /or In part</b>	<b>No</b>	<b>Comment</b>
Presence of strong topographic form/variety?				Coastal plain
Varied range of land cover/uses?				Grassland, trees, employment uses
Presence of hydrological distinction and variety?				
Presence of diverse landscape elements and a strong landscape structure?				
<b>Is the site predominantly dominated by urban elements?</b>	<b>Yes</b>	<b>? /or In part</b>	<b>No</b>	<b>Comment</b>
Are there few human scale features and a lack of urbanising features?				Parts of the site in influenced by buildings and storage
Is there an intact landscape pattern with defined sense of enclosure?				
Is there a complex landscape pattern, in a comparatively remote location?				
<b>Does the site contain important historic elements?</b>	<b>Yes</b>	<b>?/or In part</b>	<b>No</b>	<b>Comment</b>
Are there any historic parklands/gardens/SAMs, or an historic landscape structure?				
Is there a dispersed settlement pattern with absence of modern development and presence of vernacular buildings?				

**38. North of Satchell Lane, Bursledon**

**10-25-C**

<b>Views and Visibility</b>	<b>Yes</b>	<b>?/or In part</b>	<b>No</b>	<b>Comment</b>
Open, expansive or unfiltered views?				Some open views across the site from Satchell Lane
Are key views experienced by people who have a high level of interest in the visual environment?				From footpath adjoining site
Are there prominent, distinctive or undeveloped skylines or those with important historic landmarks?				
<b>Potential for development to avoid impacting on sensitive landscapes</b>	<b>High Sensitivity</b>		<b>Moderate Sensitivity</b>	<b>Low Sensitivity</b>

**Further Comments**

**Consideration should be given to retaining some views across the coastal plain**

**39. North and south of Kings Avenue, Hamble**

**8-11-C**

Does the site contain important or distinctive landscape features?	Yes	? /or In part	No	Comment
Presence of strong topographic form/variety?				
Varied range of land cover/uses?				Playing fields and industry
Presence of hydrological distinction and variety?				
Presence of diverse landscape elements and a strong landscape structure?				
Is the site predominantly dominated by urban elements?	Yes	? /or In part	No	Comment
Are there few human scale features and a lack of urbanising features?				
Is there an intact landscape pattern with defined sense of enclosure?				
Is there a complex landscape pattern, in a comparatively remote location?				
Does the site contain important historic elements?	Yes	?/or In part	No	Comment
Are there any historic parklands/gardens/SAMs, or an historic landscape structure?				
Is there a dispersed settlement pattern with absence of modern development and presence of vernacular buildings?				

**39. North and south of Kings Avenue, Hamble**

**8-11-C**

<b>Views and Visibility</b>	<b>Yes</b>	<b>?/or In part</b>	<b>No</b>	<b>Comment</b>
Open, expansive or unfiltered views?				
Are key views experienced by people who have a high level of interest in the visual environment?				From footpath adjoining site
Are there prominent, distinctive or undeveloped skylines or those with important historic landmarks?				
<b>Potential for development to avoid impacting on sensitive landscapes</b>	<b>High Sensitivity</b>		<b>Moderate Sensitivity</b>	<b>Low Sensitivity</b>

**Further Comments**

**Site is partly urban in character, some trees on the edge of the playing field may should be retained**

**40a: Satchell Lane, Hamble**

**8-5-C**

Does the site contain important or distinctive landscape features?	Yes	? /or In part	No	Comment
Presence of strong topographic form/variety?				Part of former airfield
Varied range of land cover/uses?				
Presence of hydrological distinction and variety?				
Presence of diverse landscape elements and a strong landscape structure?				Hedge line
Is the site predominantly dominated by urban elements?	Yes	? /or In part	No	Comment
Are there few human scale features and a lack of urbanising features?				Housing
Is there an intact landscape pattern with defined sense of enclosure?				Part enclosed by hedges
Is there a complex landscape pattern, in a comparatively remote location?				
Does the site contain important historic elements?	Yes	?/or In part	No	Comment
Are there any historic parklands/gardens/SAMs, or an historic landscape structure?				
Is there a dispersed settlement pattern with absence of modern development and presence of vernacular buildings?				

**40a: Satchell Lane, Hamble**

**8-5-C**

<b>Views and Visibility</b>	<b>Yes</b>	<b>?/or In part</b>	<b>No</b>	<b>Comment</b>
Open, expansive or unfiltered views?				
Are key views experienced by people who have a high level of interest in the visual environment?				From footpath
Are there prominent, distinctive or undeveloped skylines or those with important historic landmarks?				
<b>Potential for development to avoid impacting on sensitive landscapes</b>	<b>High Sensitivity</b>		<b>Moderate Sensitivity</b>	<b>Low Sensitivity</b>
<b>Further Comments</b>				
Land is set back from the bulk of the former airfield behind gardens				

**40b Hamble**

**8-13-C**

Does the site contain important or distinctive landscape features?	Yes	? /or In part	No	Comment
Presence of strong topographic form/variety?				
Varied range of land cover/uses?				
Presence of hydrological distinction and variety?				
Presence of diverse landscape elements and a strong landscape structure?				Woodland setting
Is the site predominantly dominated by urban elements?	Yes	? /or In part	No	Comment
Are there few human scale features and a lack of urbanising features?				
Is there an intact landscape pattern with defined sense of enclosure?				Urban uses are set against a strong wooded backdrop
Is there a complex landscape pattern, in a comparatively remote location?				
Does the site contain important historic elements?	Yes	?/or In part	No	Comment
Are there any historic parklands/gardens/SAMs, or an historic landscape structure?				Established woodland edge
Is there a dispersed settlement pattern with absence of modern development and presence of vernacular buildings?				

**40b Hamble**

8-13-C

**Views and Visibility**

Yes	?/or In part	No	Comment
			From footpath
			Wooded backdrop as seen from footpaths

**Potential for development to avoid impacting on sensitive landscapes****High Sensitivity****Moderate Sensitivity****Low Sensitivity****Further Comments****Care should be taken to protect the woodland edge**

**40c Satchell Lane, Hamble**

**8-3-C**

Does the site contain important or distinctive landscape features?	Yes	? /or In part	No	Comment
Presence of strong topographic form/variety?				River valley , raised mound and foreshore
Varied range of land cover/uses?				Woodland, grassland, saltmarsh, marine industry
Presence of hydrological distinction and variety?				Streams and ditches feeding Badnam Creek
Presence of diverse landscape elements and a strong landscape structure?				Contrasting woodland and coastal landscape
Is the site predominantly dominated by urban elements?	Yes	? /or In part	No	Comment
Are there few human scale features and a lack of urbanising features?				Boat yard and storage
Is there an intact landscape pattern with defined sense of enclosure?				Urban uses are set against a strong wooded backdrop
Is there a complex landscape pattern, in a comparatively remote location?				
Does the site contain important historic elements?	Yes	?/or In part	No	Comment
Are there any historic parklands/gardens/SAMs, or an historic landscape structure?				Ancient woodland, and creek associated with marine heritage
Is there a dispersed settlement pattern with absence of modern development and presence of vernacular buildings?				

**40c Satchell Lane, Hamble**

**8-3-C**

<b>Views and Visibility</b>	<b>Yes</b>	<b>?/or In part</b>	<b>No</b>	<b>Comment</b>
Open, expansive or unfiltered views?				Open views across creek and river from foreshore
Are key views experienced by people who have a high level of interest in the visual environment?				From Mercury Mound and from footpath in Bursledon leading from Salterns Lane
Are there prominent, distinctive or undeveloped skylines or those with important historic landmarks?				Wooded backdrop as seen from river and from Bursledon footpaths
<b>Potential for development to avoid impacting on sensitive landscapes</b>	<b>High Sensitivity</b>		<b>Moderate Sensitivity</b>	<b>Low Sensitivity</b>

**Further Comments**

**Great care should be taken in retaining the well treed character and setting of the site , particularly from the river , from the east bank and from Bursledon footpaths**